Codi E. Davis

From:

Editha Amacker <evamacker@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, August 07, 2017 12:39 PM

To:

Paul Cramer

Subject:

typo in Cal. No. 31917

Is it possible to correct grammatical errors in Cal. No. 31917 (approved Master Plan text amendments). These errors, and minor text changes occurred, after the preliminary CPC staff reports.

Ch. 7 Goal 4 (proposed) Recommended Strategy 4B Recommended Action #2 [pg. 13] in the How statement.

"2. Restore vacant or closed neighborhood parks in underserved with programming to meet the needs of eh residents." Cal. No. 31917 page 240 or 840 (in my .pdf copy)

This text should read as follows:

2. Restore vacant or closed neighborhood parks in underserved **areas** with programming to meet the needs of **the** residents.

Thanks, Editha Amacker



September 1, 2017

1 Palm Drive

New Orleans, LA

70124-4608

504.482.4888

FAX 504,483,9412

Catering/Sales

504.488.2896

Fax 504.483.9379

www.neworleanscitypark.com

Robert Steeg, Chairman
New Orleans City Planning Commission
7th Floor
City Hall
1300 Perdido Street
New Orleans, La. 70112

Re: Motion M-17-411

Modifications to Chapter #7 New Orleans Master Plan

Dear Chairman Steeg:

I write to request that the Planning Commission <u>uphold its original recommendations</u> on modifications to Chapter #7 as relates to Goal #12, portions of which were referred back to the Commission by the City Council.

The language suggested in the Motion for Goal 12.B. is particularly inappropriate in that it seeks to place restrictions on the use of Park space in a Goals Statement. This is not only poor planning but is an attempt to restrict the ability of the very public agencies charged by law with determining the appropriate balance of park uses, from making those judgements.

The language in the Motion seeking to separate Goal 12.C. into two separate goals (in suggested Goal 12.D.) also seeks to add restrictive language to the Master Plan.

City Park has consistently supported the position which the Planning Commission's previous actions also supported, that land use decisions in regional parks should be left to those agencies charged with the administration of those parks where a Master Plan has been adopted by those entities.

We recommend that the Commission reject the suggested modifications in Motion M-17-411 and reaffirm their previous recommendations with regard to the Goals of Chapter #7.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Becker Ph.D. FAICP

Chief Executive Officer

Cc:

Robert D. Rivers Steve Pettus